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Abstract

Currently there is a multitude of information available on malware analysis. Much of it describes the tools and techniques used in the analysis but not in the reporting of the results. However in the combat of malware, the reporting of the results is as important as the results itself. If the results can be reported in a consistent, well-structured manner that is easily understood by man and machine, then it becomes possible to automate some of the processes in the detection, prevention and reporting of malware infections. This paper would study the benefits of using OpenIOC framework as a common syntax to describe the results of malware analysis.
1. Introduction

1.1. Enterprise Malware Management

In the IT operations of an enterprise, malware forensics is often used to support the investigations of incidents. This could be due to end-user ignorance and carelessness, like drive-by-downloads as a result of careless web access, mistakes and oversights by administrators and their tools (Leydon, 2012) as well as Advanced Persistence Threat (APT) attacks. The objective of incident handling is to manage and control faults and disruptions to IT services. It includes both reactive and proactive measure. Table 1 lists the 6-Step process in incident handling (Murray, 2012) as describe by SANS.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incident Handling Step</th>
<th>Type of Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Preparation</td>
<td>Proactive measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Identification</td>
<td>Reactive measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Containment</td>
<td>Reactive measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Eradication</td>
<td>Reactive measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Recovery</td>
<td>Reactive measure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Lessons Learned</td>
<td>Proactive measure</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: SANS 6-steps process in incident handling (Murray, 2012)

Malware forensics falls under step 6. In the event of a new variant of malware, malware forensics can also take place in steps 3 to 5. Aquilina et. al. describes the objectives of malware investigations as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 1. Discover nature and purpose of program.
| 2. Determine the infection mechanism.
| 3. Determine how program interact with the host system.
| 4. Determine how program interact with network. |
| 5. Determine how the attacker interact with the program. |
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Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td>Determine the profile and sophistication level of the attack.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td>Determine the extent of infection and compromise of the host machine and beyond.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives (Aquilina, Malin & Casey, 2010)

The purpose of the investigation is to characterize malware in terms of its attributes (static) and behaviors (dynamic) (Kirillov, 2012). This leads to 2 broad approaches towards malware forensics investigation: static and dynamic analysis. By performing static and dynamic analysis, objectives 3 and 4 would be met respectively. These describe the most basic characteristics of a malware. The rest of the objectives (1, 2 and 5 to 7) can be derived from these low-level attributes.

1.2. Incident Handling & Malware Forensics

Many enterprises are profit-drive environment and will strive to streamline and simplify its incident handling process. Hence, malware forensics investigation objectives in Table 2 can be further simplified to the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simplified Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives (SMFIO)</th>
<th>Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Detecting possible infection.</td>
<td>3 &amp; 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Preventing further infection.</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Profiling infection.</td>
<td>1, 5 &amp; 6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Simplified Malware Investigation Objectives

In the process of malware forensics investigations, the specimen needs to be analyzed in a forensically sound manner that ensures authenticity of the evidence with an analysis process that is reliable and repeatable. The investigation must also be well-supported with documentation (Casey 2011). OpenIOC (Indicators of Compromise) is an open source framework developed by Mandiant1 for sharing threat intelligence (Sophisticated indicators for the modern threat landscape: an instruction to OpenIOC, 2011). It can be used to improve the reliability and repeatability of the malware forensics investigation.

---

investigation process by providing a standard documentation syntax. The OpenIOC framework can be used in the investigation report. As the framework utilizes XML (eXtensible Markup Language) to describe threat information, the derived OpenIOC indicators can be used as input to various security controls as part of the “Lessons Learned” phase of SANS 6-step process in incident handling (Table 1). This is because XML has the advantage of being both machine and human readable.

2. Malware Forensics

2.1. Clean Room Setup

When investigating a malware specimen, it is important to do so in an isolated, “clean room” environment. The machines and network used in the analysis have to be isolated away from the production environment to prevent any possibility of malware outbreak. The behavior of the specimen should be analyzed in a cleanly installed machine that is not connected to external networks. By setting up such a baseline environment, any changes made to the machines' state can be attributed to the malware.

![Diagram 1: Malware analysis logical setup](image)

The setup used in this paper takes reference from SANS FOR610 (Reverse-Engineering Malware: Malware Analysis Tools and Techniques) training. The diagram above shows the logical setup. In this setup, the malware will be executed in a Windows 7 SP1 machine. Various analysis tools are used to monitor and analyze its behavior. The

---
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tools on Windows 7 and REMnux\textsuperscript{3} machines are listed in Appendix 1.

The Windows 7 and REMnux machines are attached to the same subnet (192.168.56.0/24) to allow REMnux to monitor potential network traffic generated by the malicious specimen. In order to facilitate such a setup, all NIC (Network Interface Controller) cards on the machines and the switch need to be set to promiscuous mode. In addition, the Windows 7 client must be restored to its pristine state after each analysis or even during the analysis to ensure the reliability of the results obtained. The restoration of the Windows machine can be a time-consuming affair, so in practice this setup would be implemented in a virtual environment using VMware\textsuperscript{4}, VirtualBox\textsuperscript{5} or even QEMU\textsuperscript{6}. Besides using a single machine to host the setup, virtualization software has the advantage of supporting snapshots. Hence, the machine state at various stages of the investigations can be saved to facilitate rollbacks or the review of analysis results. For the paper, the visualization software used is VirtualBox version 4.2.4.

2.2. Static Analysis

In static analysis, the specimen's binary is examined without executing it. The tools commonly used for static analysis is documented in Appendix 1.

The first step in static analysis is file profiling which is done to obtain an initial assessment of the specimen's functionalities. Information such as strings, library dependencies, meta data and anti-virus signatures can be extracted from the executable file. The purpose of file profiling is reconnaissance, (Aquilina, Malin & Casey, 2010) in order to make an intelligent decision on the type of file and how to approach the analysis. It can also serve to fulfill step 1(detecting possible infection) of Simplified Malware Forensics Investigations Objectives (SMFIO)

The first step in file profiling is to obtain a cryptographic hash value of the specimen file, which is its digital fingerprint. This is easily obtained using Microsoft File Checksum Integrity Verifier (FCIV)\textsuperscript{7}. Next, Linux \texttt{file} command would provide a

\textsuperscript{3}http://zeltser.com/remnux/
\textsuperscript{4}http://www.vmware.com/
\textsuperscript{5}https://www.virtualbox.org/
\textsuperscript{6}http://www.qemu.org/
quick overview of the type of file (e.g., PE executable, DLL, kernel mode driver, documents, etc). The file's entropy is measured to determine the likelihood of it being packed and the export and import tables are viewed to get a sense of the functionalities of the specimen. There are many tools that can accomplish this, such as PEiD\(^8\), xPELister\(^9\) and PEBrowse\(^10\).

### 2.3. Dynamic Analysis

In dynamic analysis, the behavior of the specimen is observed through its interaction with the host, as well as external system like web servers, IRC networks. There are a wide variety of tools available for dynamic analysis and the challenge is to decide on the most appropriate tools. Assuming that the malware specimen does not implement any anti-forensics measures, one of the most comprehensive tools to monitor behavior of a malware is SysInternal's Process Monitor\(^11\). A malware in its most basic form is essentially a Windows executable that, when run, would manifest as a Windows process, a child of Windows process or as a part of a process, in the case of code injection. This running process would interact with the host system in 5 main areas:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Main Areas of Interaction with Host System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. File system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Registry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Network activity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. API calls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: Main areas of interaction with host (Aquilina, Malin & Casey, 2010)

Process Monitor is able to monitor all of these interactions but often produces a very noisy set of data. In order to build to filters to remove unnecessary data from Process Monitor, RegShot\(^12\) is used at the start of the investigations to sift through the noisy windows events and filter out potential malicious activities. RegShot is an open source Windows registry and file system comparison tool. Windows registry is a system-

---

\(^8\)http://peid.has.it/
\(^9\)http://tuts4you.com/request.php?426
\(^10\)http://www.smidgeonsoft.prohosting.com/pebrowse-pro-file-viewer.html
\(^12\)http://sourceforge.net/projects/regshot
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defined database where applications and system components read and write configuration data. (Registry, 2012) Malware often uses the registry to find out the installed components and other capabilities of the target host as well as to store its own configuration. By comparing the registry before and after infection, evidence left by the malware can be used to build filters for Process Monitor.

Network activities also contain important information. If the malware attempts to “phone home”, information of the remote attacker, as well as potential sources of malicious payload may be revealed. REMnux provides a variety of tools to emulate network services and wireshark\(^\text{13}\) is available to monitor the network traffic.

### 2.4. Reporting

In digital forensics investigations, digital impression evidence and trace evidence are collected. Digital impression evidence are artifacts left in the physical memory, file system and registry as a result of the execution. Digital trace evidence are files and other artifacts that are typically introduced through the victim's online activity and are of a more temporary nature. (Aquilina, Malin & Casey, 2010)

When investigating malware infections, digital impression evidence are those that are associated with the infection and the self-preservation mechanisms and can be reproduced and observed in the “clean room” setup and compared with the victim’s machine. These are classified as mandatory attributes. On the other hand, trace evidence depends on the environment that the malware is running in and the user's interaction with the infected system. Investigators may not always be able to reproduce them in the “clean room” setup and are classified as optional attributes.

When using OpenIOC framework to report the findings of the investigations, the mandatory and optional attributes can be expressed as \textit{AND} and \textit{OR} operators.

---

\(^{13}\)http://www.wireshark.org/
3. OpenIOC Framework

3.1. Open IOC

Currently, there is no common language to describe the capabilities of malware. The hash value of the binary sample only identifies the specimen and little else. Furthermore, polymorphic and metamorphic codes (Paxson, 2011) result in multiple hash identities for the same class of malware. Hence there is a need to shift from identification of malware through its syntax (appearance of instructions) to its semantics (effect of instructions). OpenIOC is ideally suited for this purpose as the XML-based framework provides a flexible way of describing the complex semantics of a malware's behavior.

“Indicators of Compromise (IOCs) are forensic artifacts of an intrusion that can be identified on a host or network” (Sophisticated indicators for the modern threat landscape, 2012). It is similar to Mitre's CybOX’s\(^\text{14}\) (Cyber Observable eXpression) which uses XML schema for describing cyber observables. A cyber observable is a measurable event or stateful property in the cyber domain (Barnum, 2011). A standard manner of describing cyber observables, would allow for better communications amongst cyber security teams and potential interoperability of deployed tools and processes. According to Mandiant blog's\(^\text{15}\), the CybOX team has included OpenIOC into its framework.

The motivations for developing OpenIOC, Mitre's CybOX and MAEC\(^\text{16}\)(Malware Attribute Enumeration and Characterization) are similar, which is to find a common language to describe malware infection and other cyber events. OpenIOC is focused on describing technical characteristics of a threat through an extensible XML schema. It has a comprehensive vocabulary for describing low level attributes which can be easily translated into machine-understandable formats. These can then be used as input to configure various IT security monitoring and detection tools like anti-virus, IDS (Intrusion Detection System), IPS (Intrusion Prevention System), firewalls, OS (Operating System) security controls and policies. Similarly, logs and other forms of

\(^{14}\)http://cybox.mitre.org/
\(^{15}\)https://blog.mandiant.com/archives/766
\(^{16}\)http://maec.mitre.org/
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outputs from these tools maybe translated into OpenIOC documents to be shared amongst other tools and systems. In this way, the intelligence gathered from an incident may be used to protect and prevent compromise of the entire environment. This would map to objectives 1 and 2 (detecting possible infection and preventing further infection) of SMFIO (Table 3). This method of malware investigation is illustrated by OpenIOC in the diagram below.

Diagram 2: Malware investigation lifecycle taken from (Sophisticated indicators for the modern threat landscape, 2012)

Malware investigation is an iterative process. It begins with developing OpenIOC indicators based on the low-level attributes of the malware's interaction with the host and network. The OpenIOC can then be used as inputs to the enterprise monitoring tools and used for further analysis. The table below applies OpenIOC framework to an enterprise incident handling process, more specifically the proposed SMFIO (Simplified Malware Forensics Investigation Objective).
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Simplified Objective</th>
<th>SANS Incident Handling Step</th>
<th>Explanation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Step 1: Preparation</td>
<td>This step takes place prior to an incident and does not take OpenIOC into account.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1) Detecting possible infection.</td>
<td>Step 2: Identification</td>
<td>OpenIOC is used to describe the malware. It could be based on its file profile and network traffic signature.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(2) Preventing further infection.</td>
<td>Step 3: Containment</td>
<td>OpenIOC is used to document the changes made to the infected host's file system and registry configurations; kernel and other program hooks; network protocols and ports. With this information, network and the host IPS could be configured for the purpose of containment and eradication.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Step 4: Eradication</td>
<td>In the correction phases the IT system is placed back into production mode with all the business processes in place. This is beyond the scope of OpenIOC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(3) Profiling infection.</td>
<td>Step 5: Correction</td>
<td>These consist of OpenIOCs that could describe the profile of the attacks in order to determine if it is a targeted attack. More robust containment and eradication steps would be required to prevent or at least reduce the damage from such attacks.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-</td>
<td>Step 6: Lessons Learned</td>
<td>These consist of OpenIOCs that could describe the profile of the attacks in order to determine if it is a targeted attack. More robust containment and eradication steps would be required to prevent or at least reduce the damage from such attacks.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 5: OpenIOC for Incident Handling

3.2. Using IOC

A sample OpenIOC is shown below. It documents the low-level attributes that are observed when a host is infected by the Zeus virus. A full listing is presented in Appendix 3. The verbose nature of XML makes the IOC self-explanatory.
The malware's low-level behavior attribute is documented using `<IndicatorItem>` tag. Multiple `<IndicatorItem>` tags may be grouped together using `<Indicator>` tag. They may be grouped according to logical AND or OR operators as seen in the diagram above. A rule of thumb would be to group attributes associated with a behavior into using `<Indicator>` tag with AND attribute. Groups of behavior can then be associated with the OR operator. This set of indicators only describe the processes and handles that are created with the Zeus infection and can only achieve step 1 (detecting possible infection) of SMFIO.

The next section would analyze a malware specimen using SMFIO and use OpenIOC to document the results. It would then explore how to use the resulting OpenIOC in the management of the IT system in an enterprise.
4. Case Study

4.1. Background

A suspicious file would have one or more of the following characteristics, an unknown origin, located in system folders or unusual or hidden locations in the system, has unusual or misspelt names and contains obfuscated code. Suspicious files are often investigated to determine its damage potential and derive prevention mechanisms against it. This section examines a malware (hash value: aada169a1cbd822e1402991e6a9c9238) that was caught by a private honeypot. To facilitate the discussion, a random name of “ada.exe” was given to the specimen. The “clean room” set up discussed in section 2.1 was used.

4.2. File Profiling

Microsoft File Checksum Integrity Verifier was used to obtain the MD5 hash of the specimen. Linux file command, xPELister and PEBrowse were used in the initial assessment of the file type.

From file command and PEiD, it was quite clear that this was a packed file with an high entropy level of 7.98. PECompact was the packer used.

```
$ file ada.exe
ada.exe: PE32 executable (GUI) Intel 80386, for MS Windows, PECompact2 compressed
```

Diagram 4: Output from Linux file command
Given that this is a packed file, the information from its PE header such as section

Diagram 5: Output from PEiD

Diagram 6: PE header information of the obfuscated file
information, entry point and other file characteristics will be changed for the de-
obfuscated malicious executable. Hence, the information gathered so far, was useful in
identifying the infection, which is the obfuscated payload. Unfortunately with unlimited
iterations of obfuscation, it would not be feasible to make use of this information to
configure anti-virus scanners and IDS systems.

PEBrowse interpreted the PE header and showed that it was a 32bit Windows
executable. The import table only contained 4 functions from Kernel32.dll:
GetProcAddress, LoadLibraryA, VirtualAlloc and VirtualFree, a characteristics of packed
files. Without further information, it would be difficult to determine the damage potential
of the file.

**SMFIO 1: Detecting possible infection**

The information obtained so far can be used for objective 1 (detecting possible
infection) of SMFIO. The propose OpenIOC indicators are listed below.
The approach taken is to list attributes associated with digital impression evidence using the \textit{AND} operator and put trace evidence under the \textit{OR} operator. Although, all the attributes listed in diagram 8 can be observed in an infection, file compile time is subjected to time zone configuration on the development and infected machines and requires careful handling. With other more reliable identifiers, file compile time is put in as an optional attribute.

4.3. \textbf{Dynamic Analysis}

\textbf{Obtaining Snapshots of Changes using Regshot}

Initially, RegShot was used to compare the registry and file system before and after infection. The most obvious indication of malware infection was the addition of a file named “serivces.exe” in “C:\Windows\System32” directory and as well as the deletion of the original malicious code. The file name “serivces” stood out as it was a misspelling of the word “services” which is a system component in Windows.
Further analysis of RegShot's output showed that “services.exe” was installed as a Windows service with a seemingly legitimate service name “Plug and Play Manager”. In reality, the Windows service that supported Plug and Play was called “PlugPlay”.

Diagram 9: RegShot output showing addition of services.exe

Diagram 10: Regshot output showing malware deleted

Diagram 11: Regshot output showing suspicious keys.
The start key with value of 0x2 indicated that this service would start automatically. The type key with value of 0x110 indicated that this was a Win32 program that ran in a process by itself (JSI Tip 0324, 1997). This was the specimen's self-preservation mechanism.

**Monitoring Interaction with Host System using Process Monitor & CaptureBat**

After reverting back to its pristine stage, the system is reininfected and monitored by Process Monitor. Using the process names “ada.exe” and “services.exe” as a filter, here is the sequence of significant events that occurred:
The malicious specimen stopped Windows Security Center\textsuperscript{17} which then stopped alerts and notifications from several Windows security components including the firewall, anti-virus, Windows Update, Internet options. As a result, Windows SharedAccess service that controlled Internet-connection sharing\textsuperscript{18}, which included firewall configuration, was stopped. The file “a.bat” contained scripts that modified

\begin{itemize}
\item \texttt{cmd /c net stop "Security Center"}
\item \texttt{cmd /c net stop SharedAccess}
\item \texttt{net stop SharedAccess}
\item \texttt{commands in a.bat modify SharedAccess, wuauserv, Tcpip services configurations in registry}
\item \texttt{net start SharedAccess}
\item \texttt{services.exe started as a service with service name “PlugPlayCM"}
\end{itemize}

\textsuperscript{17}http://windows.microsoft.com/is-IS/windows-vista/Using-Windows-Security-Center
\textsuperscript{18}http://technet.microsoft.com/en-us/library/cc766190%28v=ws.10%29.aspx
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registry settings to disable firewall, Windows Automatic Update, Windows Security Centre services. It also contained entries that modified the TCP/IP parameters. As a result, when Windows SharedAccess was started, these services were no longer available. After modifying the registry settings “a.bat” was deleted. A copy of “a.bat” was recovered using CaptureBat and documented in Appendix 4.

Finally, “services.exe” was created and was installed as a service with a service name “PlugPlayCM”. After the service started, “ada.exe” was deleted.

**Monitoring Interaction with Network using REMnux**

REMnux was used to draw network traffic out from the malicious specimen. The first step was to use wireshark to monitor network traffic from the malicious specimen, in order to determine the type of network services that it was seeking. The specimen initially sent TCP SYN requests to ip address 60.10.179.100, connecting to a range of ports which included 8684 – 8689, 9051, 137(WINS registry), 12032, 8680 – 8689, 1709 and 343. A snapshot of the SYN request is presented below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>192.168.56.200</th>
<th>239.255.255.250</th>
<th>60.10.179.100</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(138)</td>
<td>(138)</td>
<td>(60.10.179.100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49168)</td>
<td>49168 &gt; 8684 [SYN]</td>
<td>8684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49168)</td>
<td>49168 &gt; 8684 [SYN]</td>
<td>8684</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(58019)</td>
<td>Standard query A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(58019)</td>
<td>Standard query resp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49169)</td>
<td>49169 &gt; 8685 [SYN]</td>
<td>8685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49169)</td>
<td>49169 &gt; 8685 [SYN]</td>
<td>8685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49169)</td>
<td>49169 &gt; 8685 [SYN]</td>
<td>8685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49170)</td>
<td>49170 &gt; sun-as-jmxr</td>
<td>8685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(49170)</td>
<td>49170 &gt; sun-as-jmxr</td>
<td>8685</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(62683)</td>
<td>Standard query A</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(62683)</td>
<td>Standard query resp</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Diagram 14: Wireshark output of initial TCP SYN request*

Of course, REMnux acting as the gateway to nowhere, was not able to connect to

---


20[http://www.wireshark.org](http://www.wireshark.org)
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IP address 60.10.179.100. A check with Robtex\(^1\) reverse DNS service website, revealed that this ip address was blacklisted.

Next the specimen, made domain name resolution requests to ringc.strangled.net, checkip.dyndns.org and www.ip138.com. fakedns\(^2\) was used to resolved all domain names requested by the malicious specimen to the REMnux machine. With the domain names resolved to REMnux machine's ip address, the specimen then sent HTTP Get requests to checkip.dyndns.org and www.ip138.com. Both sites were visited anonymously using the TOR\(^3\) browser. It was found that they would both return the ip address of the requesting client so it can be assumed that the specimen was attempting to acquire the ip address of the host it had infected. A screenshot of www.ip138.com is displayed below:


The most significant network requests were TCP SYN requests to port 8684. netcat\(^4\) was used to start a port 8684 in listening state in REMnux. With this simple setup, the network requests to port 8684 was captured and examined. The output from netcat is shown below:

---

\(^1\)http://www.robtex.com
\(^2\)http://code.activestate.com/recipes/491264-mini-fake-dns-server/
\(^3\)https://www.torproject.org/projects/torbrowser.html
\(^4\)http://netcat.sourceforge.net/
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It turned to be an IRC request. The specimen used details from the infected host to generate the user and nick login details. The host operating system was Windows 7 Service Pack 1 with system locale, keyboard and location set to USA. The Windows login username was Win7_REM. This might explain the phrases “USA”, “SP1” and “WIN7_REM” in the IRC connection request.

To probe further, ircd service in REMnux was configured to listen to a range of ports 8684-8689, which included 8684. After sometime, it was observed in wireshark that the specimen joined IRC channel “#blue3”. The wireshark output is shown below:

From monitoring the behavior of the specimen, the following OpenIOC indicators are proposed.
SMFIO 1: Detecting possible infection

The changes to the host file system and registry are mandatory attributes (AND operator).

```
AND
  File Full Path contains c:\Windows\System32
  File Name contains server.exe
  File MD5 is sada1f9a1cbdd822e1402991e5a9c9238
```

Diagram 18: OpenIOC from dynamic analysis 1

SMFIO 2: Preventing further infection

These OpenIOC indicators describe the changes made to the host and the network traffic generated after an infection. These indicators suggest how the host system could be hardened in order to prevent further and future infections. For example, for this specimen a host firewall could be configured to prevent outgoing network traffic to IP address 60.10.179.100, connections to the port 8680 to 8689 are put in as optional (OR operator) as they are dependent on the infected host's network settings.

```
OR
  Network String General contains blue3
  Network String URI is http://checkipdyndns.org
  Network String URI is http://www.ip138.com
  AND
  Port Remote IP contains 60.10.179.100
  Port remotePort contains 8680 to 8689
```

Diagram 19: OpenIOC from dynamic analysis 2
On the other hand, the OpenIOC indicators for the changes to the registry are mandatory (AND operator). This is because these indicators describe the infection and self-preservation mechanisms.

Diagram 20: OpenIOC from dynamic analysis 3
SMFIO 3: Profiling Infection

Outbound network traffic sometimes provides identity of the attacker that can be used in developing the profile of the attack. In this case, remote IRC server that the specimen tried to connect to may provide a link to the attacker. As they are trace evidence, the OR operator is used.

4.4. Static Analysis

From the dynamic analysis, the infection and self-preservation mechanism was found. In addition, it was found that the specimen was most likely an IRC bot but without connecting the IRC bot to its C&C (Command and Control), it was difficult to determine it functionalities. Hence, static analysis was carried out to probe further into the specimen.

Gathering Strings

The first step into static analysis is to gather a list of strings from the binary executable. The Linux strings command was used. From file profiling done earlier, it was known that this was a packed specimen and the strings command would not produce many strings of interest. The results correspond to that from the file profiling stage.
**Debugging with OllyDbg**

The specimen had various anti-forensics strategies which had to be overcome before the malicious code could be analyzed in OllyDbg\(^\text{25}\). As discovered earlier, the specimen was packed using PECompact version 2\(^\text{26}\). This was a common packer which would compress and in doing so obfuscate the code as well as the import table. When the executable was run, the decompression stub was loaded and it would restore the image of malicious code to an executable state that was loaded only onto the memory without writing to disk. The process of loading the de-obfuscated code in OllyDbg with the correct OEP (Original Entry Point) is well documented (Collake, 2005) and presented in Appendix 5.

The OEP of the malicious code is 0x415F64. Before running the specimen in OllyDbg, IDA\(^\text{27}\) was used to generate the specimen’s call flow.

![Diagram 23: Partial call flow of specimen](image)

As can be seen from the call flow above, the code has a complex structure. To reverse it completely back to its original state might not be feasible for an enterprise IT department. However if the scope of the analysis is restricted to SMFIO, the

\(^{25}\)http://www.ollydbg.de/

\(^{26}\)http://bitsum.com/pecompact.php

\(^{27}\)http://www.hex-rays.com/products/ida/index.shtml
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investigation would be more feasible.

The initial portion of the code, when analyzed in OllyDbg, had numerous segments that checked if it was being monitored. On detection of a debugger, it would terminate prematurely. The flow charts of the anti-forensics are presented below.

Flow chart 1 shows the initialization phase of the specimen. At the start it gathered a handle to itself and the command line parameters and passed them to WinMain function.

Diagram 24: Flow chart 1
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In WinMain, the specimen launched into several anti-forensics strategies. Firstly, it checked if the username of the machine was “CurrentUser” or contained the strings “sandbox”, “honey”, “vmware” or “currentuser”. These would be easily defeated by ensuring that the username of the machine did not contain these strings.
Next at 0x4013B6, it checked if “dbghelp.dll” and “sbie.dll” DLL (Dynamic Link Library) loaded.

Diagram 26: Flow Chart 3
Library) were loaded. This was done through GetModuleHandleA\(^{29}\) at address 0x4013BE. The presence of “sbie.dll” would indicate that Sandboxie\(^{30}\) was running and it could potentially limit the specimen's malicious functions. “dbghelp.dll” was used by Microsoft DbgHelp library\(^{31}\) and its presence would alert the specimen that it was debugged. If the specimen detected that these DLLs were loaded, it would end its process. Otherwise, it would continue on to function 0x4011E6 where it further checked for the presence of debugger through the use of ZwQuerySystemInformation\(^{32}\) and ZwQueryInformationProcess\(^{33}\).

Next at 0x401388, the specimen tested to see if it was ran in a VMware virtual machine. This was done through detecting the presence of the port 5658 (Liston, 2006). Liston and Skoudis had describe in their research that VMWare monitors port 5658 when EAX was set to the magic number 0x564D5868 (“VMXh”). If the specimen was ran in VMware, EBX would be set to 0x564D5868 after the command “IN EAX, EDX”, else there would be an exception. In order to bypass this anti-forensics measure, “IN EAX, EDX” is modified to “NOP” and EBX is setup to the expected value.

After a series of anti-debugging steps, the specimen then installed itself as a Windows Service. In 0x407331 of WinMain, the specimen setup up the SERVICE_TABLE_ENTRY\(^{34}\) which would contain the address to ServiceMain of a service. In this case, ServiceMain of the malicious service was at 0x40A8D3. At 0x40A970, CreateServiceA was called to install the service with service name “PlugPlayCM” and display name “Plug and Play Manger” which were seemingly legitimate service name. After StartServiceA was called at 0x40AA4C, it would call StartServiceCtrlDispatcherA\(^{35}\) at 0x4073FF to connect the main thread of the malicious service to service control manager so that it would be the service control dispatcher thread for the calling process. When that specimen was run in a debugger, StartServiceCtrlDispatcherA would fail with error code of

\(^{30}\)http://www.sandboxie.com/  
\(^{34}\)http://msdn.microsoft.com/en-us/library/windows/desktop/ms686001%28v=vs.85%29.aspx  

Hun-Ya Lock, hylock@gmail.com
ERROR_FAILED_SERVICE_CONTROLLER_CONNECT. This was because OllyDbg ran the specimen as a console program rather than a service. After calling StartServiceCtrlDispatcherA, the process would end. If the PlugPlayCM service had been started properly, the specimen would continue its activities in the service.

In order to continue debugging the specimen, it was executed without the use of a debugger. All the anti-forensics techniques used by the specimen would not be effective as it was not debugged and not ran in VMware. In this case, PlugPlayCM service could be started. At this point, OllyDbg could then be launched and attached to the running process “services.exe”.

In OllyDbg, the process would pause at ntdll.DbgBreakPoint. By reviewing the Threads window in OllyDbg, the following was observed.

```
Diagram 27: Threads spawned by services.exe
```

“services.exe” spawned 3 threads with starting addresses at 0x40740C, 0x40AA6D and 0x410246.

**Thread 0x4AA6D**

Thread 0x40AA6D was created in ServiceMain (0x40A8D3) at address 0x40A94E. The diagram below showed the code snippet. The create flag (dwCreateFlags) was set to 0 this would cause the thread to run immediately after creation. The register ESI is set to 0 previously at 0x40A8D8 with the command “XOR. ESI. ESI”.
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The main function of thread 0x40AA6D was to create and start thread 0x40740C. Similarly to the ServiceMain, the create flags (dwCreateFlags) is set to 0 at 0x40AA74 and so the thread 0x40740C would run immediately after creation.

Thread 0x40740C

Thread 0x40740C, contains several notable functions. First, a mutex “gregHDGHRTEfghRTNHNBMJKR!!EADSVXDFSWEdhstoi0i0340332m19” was created at 0x407418. If the mutex already existed, it would indicate that another instance of the malware was already running, the process would exit.
At 0x0x4074DD, the thread called WSAStartup requesting to use winsock version 2.2. If it failed, the process will exit.

2.2. If it failed, the process will exit.

Then it makes an interesting call to 0x40A391, where several IRC commands and IRC server numerics are listed. This further confirmed that the specimen is an IRCbot.
The IRC-related terms are listed in Appendix 6.

Function 0x40A391, is a simple function that calls 0x4077F2 multiple times, each with a different set of parameters. The parameters are a pointer to function and an IRC command. The functions associated with the commands “PRIVMSG” and “TOPIC” are 0x409D43 and 0x40A08E respectively. Both functions would make calls to 0x4014B0. The process flow from ServiceMain to 0x40AA6D to 0x40740C to 0x40A391 and finally down to 0x4014B0 is illustrated below.
Function 0x4014B0 contained a list of IRC commands which is documented in Appendix 6. The list of commands suggests that the specimen had Denial of Service (DOS) capabilities. Each IRC command was paired with its own thread which would be launched when the command was issued.

Taking command “trollflood” as an example, it would launch thread 0x4153D3. The most significant function in this thread is a loop segment starting at 0x4154FC that would continuously open a socket and connect to a random location.
SMFIO 3: Profiling infection

The bulk of the investigation effort was spent in static analysis. The mutex, strings and malware capabilities discovered would be useful for profiling the attack. From the analysis, the specimen is capable of:

- performing anti-forensics strategies.
- accessing sensitive system settings like registry, system folders.
- contacting an external C&C server.
- performing DOS attacks
- downloading external data

The proposed OpenIOC indicators are listed below.
These indicators only described what can be observed from an infected machine but not the capabilities and damage potential of the malware.
5. Conclusion

The Simplified Malware Forensics Investigation Objectives was used when performing malware analysis and the results were documented in OpenIOC. The result is presented in Appendix 7. This provides a reliable and consistent manner of reporting the infection. IT systems monitoring tools can be configured with the OpenIOC indicators. For example, a OpenIOC to yara\textsuperscript{36} conversion might look like this.

\begin{figure}
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{openioc_to_yara}
\caption{OpenIOC to yara conversion}
\end{figure}

\textsuperscript{36}http://code.google.com/p/yara-project/
A OpenIOC to snort\textsuperscript{37} conversion might look like this.

\begin{minipage}{\textwidth}
\begin{verbatim}
#snort rules
alert tcp $HOME_NET any -> 60.10.179.100 any (msg:"possible host infection"; sid:1000001; rev:1;)
alert tcp $HOME_NET any -> $EXTERNAL_NET [80,443] (msg:"alert! possible host infection"; classtype:web-application-activity; reference:url,checkipdysns.org; sid:1000002; rev:1;)
alert tcp $HOME_NET any -> $EXTERNAL_NET [80,443] (msg:"alert! possible host infection"; classtype:web-application-activity; reference:url,checkipdysns.org; sid:1000002; rev:1;)
alert tcp $HOME_NET any -> any 8080:8089 (msg:"alert! possible host infection"; flow:to_server,established; content:"#blue3"; sid:1000003; rev:1;)
\end{verbatim}
\end{minipage}

\textit{Diagram 38: OpenIOC to snort conversion}

However the OpenIOC indicators proposed so far, only describes the low-level file, host and network attributes but lack the syntax to provide the semantics behind the attributes. A simple way to overcome this is to include an attribute for describing the objective of the set of indicators.

\begin{minipage}{\textwidth}
\begin{verbatim}
AND [identifying infection]
- Process Handle Name contains gregHDGHRITfggh2RTHNMJXK11EADSVKDFWEdhstoio41e34e432m19
\end{verbatim}
\end{minipage}

\begin{minipage}{\textwidth}
\begin{verbatim}
OR [profiling infection]
- OR
  - Process StringList contains PRIMMSG,KICK,TOPIK,201,058,332,366,376,422,433
  - Process StringList contains 1.in,log.in,l.out,lo,rmcc.die,rmcc.now,adverscan,asc
  - Process StringList contains threads,t,ipocc wget,ipocc download,rf0lcc upd,dr4wcc rb
- Process StringList contains trollflood,offflood,ogaflood,topsfyn,visit,skiemp,patcher,opencem
- Process StringList contains tcp,tfnskey,akudp,akskey,sky,dddostop,bandwidflood,updx,udp,ping
\end{verbatim}
\end{minipage}

\textit{Diagram 39: Modified OpenIOC indicators}

To conclude, OpenIOC provides a simple and effective way of describing a malware infection. As its syntax is based on XML, it can be easily transformed to a format that can be used by IT monitoring tools like yara and snort. However, the current OpenIOC lacks the ability to provide semantics behind the attributes but this can be overcome by providing additional attributes to the XML syntax.

\textsuperscript{37}http://www.snort.org
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Appendix 1: Analysis Tools

Windows 7
- CaptureBat
- IDA
- OllyDbg
- PEBrowse
- PEiD
- Regshot
- Sysinternals Process Explorer
- Sysinternals Process Monitor

REMnux
- fakedns
- ircd server
- wireshark
## Appendix 2: IOC Terms

The full list of IOC indicator terms retrieved on 10 Oct 2012 are listed below (http://openioc.org/terms/Current.iocterms):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ArpEntryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CookieHistory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DiskItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DnsEntryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DriverItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Email</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EventLogItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FileDownloadHistoryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FileItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FormHistoryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HiveItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HookItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ModuleItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PortItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PrefetchItem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ProcessItem</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RegistryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RouteEntryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ServiceItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SystemInfoItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SystemRestoreItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TaskItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UrlHistoryItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UserItem</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VolumeItem</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Zeus IOC

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="us-ascii"?>
  <short_description>Zeus</short_description>
  <description>Finds Zeus variants, twexts, sdra64, ntos</description>
  <keywords />
  <authored_by>Mandiant</authored_by>
  <authored_date>0001-01-01T00:00:00</authored_date>
  <links />
  <definition>
    <Indicator operator="OR" id="9c8df971-32a8-4ede-8a3a-c5cb2c14396">
      <Indicator operator="AND" id="0781258f-6960-4da5-97a0-ec35fb403cac">
        <IndicatorItem id="50455665-35bf-4efa-9f06-aeab2980780a" condition="contains">
          <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/name" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">winlogon.exe</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="b05d9b40-0528-461f-9721-e31d5651abdc" condition="contains">
          <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Type" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">File</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
      </Indicator>
      <Indicator operator="OR" id="67505775-6577-43b2-bcc9-74603223180a">
        <IndicatorItem id="c5ae706f-c032-4da7-8acd-4523f1dae9f6" condition="contains">
          <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">system32\sdra64.exe</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="25ff12a7-665b-4e45-8b0f-6e5ca7b9581f" condition="contains">
          <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">system32\twain_32\user.ds</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="fea11706-9ebe-46b8-b30a-4047cfb7436b" condition="contains">
          <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">system32\twain_32\local.ds</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
      </Indicator>
    </Indicator>
  </definition>
</ioc>
<IndicatorItem id="a1250d55-cd63-46cd-9436-e1741f5f42e7" condition="contains">
  <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
  <Content type="string">__SYSTEM__</Content>
</IndicatorItem>
<IndicatorItem id="e033b865-95ba-44ab-baa5-3b1e8e5f348c" condition="contains">
  <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
  <Content type="string">_AVIRA_</Content>
</IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>
</Indicator>
</Definition>
</IOC>
Appendix 4: a.bat

```batch
@echo off
Echo REGEDIT4>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo [HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SharedAccess]>%temp\1.reg
Echo "Start"=dword:00000002>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo [HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SharedAccess\Parameters\FirewallPolicy\StandardProfile]%>temp\1.reg
Echo "EnableFirewall"=dword:00000000>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo [HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\wuausvc]%>temp\1.reg
Echo "Start"=dword:00000004>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo [HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\wscsvc]%>temp\1.reg
Echo "Start"=dword:00000004>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo [HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Tcpip\Parameters]>%temp\1.reg
Echo "MaxFreeIcbs"=dword:00000000>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
Echo "MaxHashTableSize"=dword:00000000>%temp\1.reg
Echo "TcpTimeWaitDelay"=dword:00000001e>%temp\1.reg
Echo "MaxUserPort"=dword:0000f61b>%temp\1.reg
Echo.>%temp\1.reg
START /WAIT REGEDIT /S %temp\1.reg
DEL %temp\1.reg
DEL %0
```
Appendix 5: De-obfuscating PECompact

PECompact uses SEH (Structured Exception Handling) mechanism to hide the OEP of the malicious code. The OEP of the obfuscated code contains very few lines of code. In x86 machines, FS:[0][^38] points to the head of the EXCEPTION_RECORD list. At 0x40100D, the address 0x478CB0 is move to FS:[0]. The “XOR EAX, EAX” command set the value of EAX register to 0. An exception is generated at 0x401016, when there is a move to address DS:[EAX]. The key press “Shift+F9” will return control to address 0x478CB0.

0x478CB0 contains the de-obfuscation routines which ends at 0x0x478D7D with a “JMP EAX” which jumps to the OEP at 0x0415F64.
## Appendix 6: IRC & Malicious Commands

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IRC Term</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ERROR</td>
<td>Use by servers to report serious errors to operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PRIVMSG</td>
<td>Use to send private messages between users.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KICK</td>
<td>Use to forcibly remove a user from a channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOPIC</td>
<td>Use to change or view the topic of a channel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>001</td>
<td>Send to all clients when a connection is established.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>332</td>
<td>Server reply to a TOPIC message. Indicates that a topic is set.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>366</td>
<td>Server returned at the end of a NAMES list.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>005</td>
<td>Server reply to a MAP command. The reply will contain a string showing the relative position of a server.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>376</td>
<td>Server reply to a MOTD (Message Of The Day) request. This is sent after message of the day string is sent.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>422</td>
<td>Server reply is a MOTD file is missing.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>433</td>
<td>Server reply when the user is being invited into a channel that it is already on.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 6: IRC Command at 0x40A391**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>l.in</td>
<td>Change to channel #2k38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>log.in</td>
<td>Change to channel #2k38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>l.out</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>lo</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmcc.die</td>
<td>Delete service “PlugPlayCM” and release</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

39https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc1459#section-4.1.4
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mutex</td>
<td>Delete service “PlugPlayCM” and release mutex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rmcc.now</td>
<td>Delete service “PlugPlayCM” and release mutex</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>advscan</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>asc</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>threads</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ipcc.wget</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ipcc.download</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r0flzcc.updt</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>r4wrcc.nb</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcp</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tfn2ksyn</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>akudp</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aksyn</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sky</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ddosstop</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bandwidthflood</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>udpx</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>udp</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ping</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>trollflood</td>
<td>Launch thread 0x4153D3 which would continuously open a socket and connect to a random location.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ccflood</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ccgetflood</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tcpsyn</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>visit</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>akiicmp</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>patcher</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strings</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>opentem</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Table 7: Malicious commands at 0x4014B0*
Appendix 7: IOC Terms

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="us-ascii"?>
<ioc xmlns:xsi="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema-instance"
xmlns:xsd="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema" id="26184e25-a226-442a-9a0c-81f553af7e8e"

  <short_description>a</short_description>
  <authored_by>hyl</authored_by>
  <authored_date>2012-10-25T08:40:38</authored_date>

  <definition>
    <Indicator operator="OR" id="1eaa7fa8-ac8a-430b-96bc-a579064999cb">
      <Indicator operator="AND" id="2e271d9c-632c-4c27-9428-ae5a3377aa5f">
        <IndicatorItem id="b74ce978-280c-4d31-9b78-5442b826305d" condition="contains">
          <Context document="FileItem" search="FileItem/Fullpath" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">c:\Windows\System32</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="048e5e8b-a2c3-4fa6-b9f7-604302f3a85f" condition="contains">
          <Context document="FileItem" search="FileItem/FileName" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">services.exe</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="4edb0110-44be-4ce5-8b87-bf92e1616ca3" condition="is">
          <Context document="FileItem" search="FileItem/Md5sum" type="mir" />
          <Content type="md5">aada169a1cbd822e1402991e6a9c9238</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
      </Indicator>
      <Indicator operator="AND" id="f2d259ea-351c-4cb1-9b46-c879da03755a">
        <IndicatorItem id="b71f6f0-8e01-453a-8816-7b7a1d1a0a27" condition="contains">
          <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/KeyPath" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SharedAccess\Parame</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="082069e4-f589-48e9-989b-d1c1c39f0dbd" condition="contains">
          <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/ValueName" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">Start</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
        <IndicatorItem id="4ce571ae-f7ea-45c6-901c-396537eb4d45" condition="contains">
          <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Value" type="mir" />
          <Content type="string">2</Content>
        </IndicatorItem>
      </Indicator>
    </Indicator>
    <Indicator operator="AND" id="f71f0662-bd9c-4f13-ac39-a0454655f565">
      <IndicatorItem id="e9773c12-d05e-4097-aa44-817e5a81a6f1" condition="contains">
        <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/KeyPath" type="mir" />
        <Content type="string">HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\SharedAccess\Parame</Content>
      </IndicatorItem>
    </Indicator>
  </definition>

</ioc>
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<IndicatorItem id="3f269fac-ce74-4629-810e-4aa7f5ac8d4f" condition="contains">
    <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/ValueName" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">EnableFirewall</Content>
</IndicatorItem>

<IndicatorItem id="39a1a564-0d94-40a4-a450-bc354d4a27ae" condition="contains">
    <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Value" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">0</Content>
</IndicatorItem>

<Indicator operator="AND" id="4c05075e-1345-4ba3-a349-ee78e598872b">
    <IndicatorItem id="1f6b857e-6f78-4843-ae58-3f2c511ae8c" condition="contains">
        <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Value" type="mir" />
        <Content type="string">Start</Content>
    </IndicatorItem>
    <IndicatorItem id="f8da3d69-191e-4e15-9ed7-8f2a9b13aadd" condition="contains">
        <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Value" type="mir" />
        <Content type="string">4</Content>
    </IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>

<Indicator operator="AND" id="3464b433-cfb0-4e61-ae98-e29b5de2a37c">
    <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Path" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\wuauserv</Content>
</IndicatorItem>

<IndicatorItem id="5b872ff7-29b6-4e87-bc25-81912dc66ce0" condition="contains">
    <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/Path" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\wscsvc</Content>
</IndicatorItem>

<Indicator operator="AND" id="72e4fbfd-a3cc-4e29-86d5-3ebfcef10f6">
    <Context document="RegistryItem" search="RegistryItem/ValueName" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE\SYSTEM\CurrentControlSet\Services\Tcpip\Parameters</Content>
</IndicatorItem>
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<Indicator operator="AND" id="7eb009b2-aa52-4553-8f96-d6ab93a504d3">
  <IndicatorItem id="35dc674f-f204-45ba-ae7e-71fd98b65f4e" condition="contains">
    <Context document="ServiceItem" search="ServiceItem/name" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">Security Center</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
  <IndicatorItem id="d0ca54fa-8120-4401-a438-d892ef62a465" condition="contains">
    <Context document="ServiceItem" search="ServiceItem/name" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">PlugPlayCM</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>

<Indicator operator="AND" id="28307beb-b70d-43fd-938d-40bff22979c9">
  <IndicatorItem id="691fc3fb-49b8-4778-9243-0b1695778498" condition="contains">
    <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/name" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">service.exe</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>

<Indicator operator="OR" id="62c59ef1-6804-4494-a438-f5b77f69e11d">
  <IndicatorItem id="4c852419-e4df-44cd-b1cb-67e5feb7bb59" condition="contains">
    <Context document="Network" search="Network/String" type="network" />
    <Content type="string">blue3</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
  <IndicatorItem id="60128b77-d1fc-4064-b078-8a6a16f9a5b2" condition="isnot">
    <Context document="Network" search="Network/URI" type="network" />
    <Content type="string">http://checkipdyndns.org</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
  <IndicatorItem id="0b7289b2-2af3-4891-99c8-0a12b6632c7" condition="is">
    <Context document="Network" search="Network/URI" type="network" />
    <Content type="string">http://www.ip138.com</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
  <IndicatorItem id="3cbbad62-26aa-4891-99c8-0a12b6632c7" condition="contains">
    <Context document="PortItem" search="PortItem/remoteIP" type="mir" />
    <Content type="IP">60.10.179.100</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
  <IndicatorItem id="a13490ca-2e46-46f5-9410-c4f1256db815" condition="contains">
    <Context document="PortItem" search="PortItem/remotePort" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">8680 ? 8689</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>

<Indicator operator="AND" id="0b5fd0cd-c37a-43f1-b88a-07fb6795e839">
  <IndicatorItem id="37655418-7064-4c95-b18d-5137e70a5308" condition="contains">
    <Context document="ProcessItem" search="ProcessItem/HandleList/Handle/Name" type="mir" />
    <Content type="string">gregHDGHRTEfghRTHNNBMJKR!!EADSVXDFSWEdhstoio4io34o432m19</Content>
  </IndicatorItem>
</Indicator>
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# Upcoming Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANS Security West 2014</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>May 08, 2014 - May 17, 2014</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mentor Session - FOR 610</td>
<td>Columbia, MD</td>
<td>May 21, 2014 - Jul 23, 2014</td>
<td>Mentor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Digital Forensics &amp; Incident Response Summit</td>
<td>Austin, TX</td>
<td>Jun 03, 2014 - Jun 10, 2014</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community SANS Ottawa</td>
<td>Ottawa, ON</td>
<td>Jun 16, 2014 - Jun 21, 2014</td>
<td>Community SANS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Virginia Beach 2014</td>
<td>Virginia Beach, VA</td>
<td>Aug 18, 2014 - Aug 29, 2014</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Baltimore 2014</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>Sep 22, 2014 - Sep 27, 2014</td>
<td>Live Event</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS OnDemand</td>
<td>Online</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
<td>Self Paced</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS SelfStudy</td>
<td>Books &amp; MP3s Only</td>
<td>Anytime</td>
<td>Self Paced</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Upcoming SANS Forensics Training

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event Name</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Dates</th>
<th>Organizer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SANS London April Live Online 2020</td>
<td>London, United Kingdom</td>
<td>Apr 20, 2020 - Apr 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Brussels April Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Brussels, Belgium</td>
<td>Apr 20, 2020 - Apr 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Apr 27</td>
<td>Baltimore, MD</td>
<td>Apr 27, 2020 - May 02, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>May 4</td>
<td></td>
<td>May 04, 2020 - May 09, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Online - FOR500: Windows Forensic Analysis</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>May 06, 2020 - Jun 12, 2020</td>
<td>vLive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hong Kong SE Asia Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Hong Kong, Hong Kong</td>
<td>May 11, 2020 - May 22, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Security West 2020</td>
<td>San Diego, CA</td>
<td>May 11, 2020 - May 16, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Mid-May</td>
<td>Alexandria, VA</td>
<td>May 17, 2020 - May 22, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn Australia Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Sydney, Australia</td>
<td>May 18, 2020 - May 29, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Online - FOR508: Advanced Incident Response, Threat Hunting, and Digital Forensics</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>May 19, 2020 - Jun 06, 2020</td>
<td>vLive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Online - FOR578: Cyber Threat Intelligence</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>May 27, 2020 - Jun 26, 2020</td>
<td>vLive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Jun 1</td>
<td>IL</td>
<td>Jun 01, 2020 - Jun 06, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANSFIRE 2020</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>Jun 13, 2020 - Jun 20, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SANS Asia Pacific Live Online 2020</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Jun 22, 2020 - Jun 27, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyber Defence Australia Online 2020</td>
<td>Australia</td>
<td>Jun 22, 2020 - Jul 04, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DFIR Summit &amp; Training 2020</td>
<td>Virtual - US Eastern</td>
<td>Jul 16, 2020 - Jul 25, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Jul 27</td>
<td>NC</td>
<td>Jul 27, 2020 - Aug 01, 2020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Live Online - FOR578: Cyber Threat Intelligence</td>
<td>United Arab Emirates</td>
<td>Jul 28, 2020 - Aug 27, 2020</td>
<td>vLive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South by Southeast Asia Online 2020</td>
<td>Singapore</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 08, 2020</td>
<td>CyberCon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instructor-Led Training</td>
<td>Aug 3 MT</td>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Aug 03, 2020 - Aug 08, 2020</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>